

## United States Pacint and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 Ch

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		A	TTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/685,366	10/10/0	O PETERS		<u> </u>	787446-2001.
			$\neg$	EXAMINER	
020999 FROMMER LA	MOENNE & L	HM12/0406		DAVID,R	
745 FIFTH		::"T'm' '64		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
NEW YORK N	Y 10151			1651	(V)
	•			DATE MAILED:	,
					04/06/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summany	09/685,366	PETERS ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Ruth A Davis	1651					
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet wi	ith the correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	N. R 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thi riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO atute, cause the application to become A	a reply be timely filed  irty (30) days will be considered timely.  INTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _							
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑	This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application	tion.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without	drawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claims 1-13 are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Exan	niner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected	ed to by the Examiner.						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on _		☐ disapproved.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. <b>≬</b> 119							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	eian priority under 35 U.S.C.	δ 119(a)-(d) or (f)					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received						
•							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the p							
application from the International * See the attached detailed Office action for a	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	~					
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for do	omestic priority under 35 U.S	S.C. § 119(e).					
Attachment(s)							
<ul> <li>15) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>16) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948</li> <li>17) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No</li> </ul>	19) Notice (	w Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 09/685,366

Art Unit: 1651

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - Claims 1 6, drawn to a stable suspension, classified in class 424, subclass 94.1,
     for example.
  - II. Claims 7 13, drawn to a method to make a stabilized suspension, classified in class 435, subclass 188, for example.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the process could be used to make other materially different products such as fruit juice, trypson broth, fertilizers or intravenous nutritional drips.

The several inventions above are independent and distinct, each from the other. They have acquired a separate status in the art as a separate subject for inventive effect and require independent searches (as indicated by the different classification). The search for each of the above inventions is not co-extensive particularly with regard to the literature search. Further, a reference which would anticipate the invention of one group would not necessarily anticipate or even make obvious another group.

Application/Control Number: 09/685,366

Art Unit: 1651

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for one group is not required for the other groups, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

3. A telephone call was made to Jerome Rosenstock on March 15, 2001 and March 20, 2001 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

4. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ruth A Davis whose telephone number is 703-308-6310. The examiner can normally be reached on M-H (7:00-4:30); altn. F (7:00-3:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Wityshyn can be reached on 703-308-4743. The fax phone numbers for the

Application/Control Number: 09/685,366

Art Unit: 1651

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-4242 for regular communications and 703-308-4242 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0196.

\*\*\*

March 26, 2001

LEON B. LANKFORD, JR. PRIMARY EXAMINER